

# Securing Grants for Qualitative Research in a Positivist Funding Environment

**David Harding**  
**D-Lab QMG**  
**September 27, 2018**



# Agenda

- General Advice on Grant Writing
- Applying this Advice to Qualitative Projects
- Common Mistakes Made by Qualitative Research Proposals
- Discussion and Questions

# Know the funder

- Different types of funders (federal, state/local, foundations, corporations)
  - Learn their priorities
  - Learn their standards of evaluation
  - Learn their language
  - Learn their format
  - Study examples of funded proposals
  - Understand who will review the proposal
  - Talk to researchers who have been funded or served as reviewers
- 
- In other words, do some qualitative research on the funder!

# The “hidden curriculum”

- There are standard formats for grants, but they are often not made explicit (NIH “specific aims” page is one example)
- It’s okay (even expected) to talk to program officers before applying for a grant – send them something specific to read in advance
- In most cases, reviewers are reading many many proposals. Yours must be “skimmable” (use formatting to your advantage) and the abstract and intro are CRITICAL.

# Elements of a Strong Proposal

From 2004 NSF Report on Qualitative Research:

- Write clearly and engagingly for a broad audience
- Situate the research in relation to existing theory
- Locate the research in the relevant literature
- Articulate the potential theoretical contribution of the research
- Outline clearly the research procedures
- Provide evidence of the project's feasibility
- Provide a description of the data to be collected
- Discuss the plan for data analysis
- Describe a strategy to refine the concepts and construct theory
- Include plans to look for and interpret disconfirming evidence
- Assess the possible impact of the researcher's presence & biography
- Provide information about research replicability
- Describe the plan to archive the data
- <https://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2004/nsf04219/nsf04219.pdf>

# Elements of a Strong Proposal

From 2004 NSF Report on Qualitative Research:

- Write clearly and engagingly for a broad audience
- Situate the research in relation to existing theory
- Locate the research in the relevant literature
- Articulate the potential theoretical contribution of the research
- Outline clearly the research procedures
- Provide evidence of the project's feasibility
- Provide a description of the data to be collected
- Discuss the plan for data analysis
- Describe a strategy to refine the concepts and construct theory
- Include plans to look for and interpret disconfirming evidence
- **Assess the possible impact of the researcher's presence & biography**
- Provide information about research replicability
- Describe the plan to archive the data
- <https://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2004/nsf04219/nsf04219.pdf>

# Papers vs. Proposals

- Many similarities
  - Motivate the research question
  - Provide a theoretical framework
  - Justify the methodology
  - Explain the contribution
- But some key differences
  - With a paper, the findings justify the paper and are there to see
  - With a proposal, the reviewer has to be convinced that the findings will be important, that something new will be learned
  - The more detail a proposal can provide about method, procedures, and how possible problems will be solved, the better
  - Anticipate what you might find and what that would imply

# Common Mistakes

- Not articulating the methodology in detail (the “trust me” proposal)
- Proposing something inductive without enough structure around it so that the contribution will be clear
- Failing to educate the reader/reviewer about your subfield and its methodological standards
- Assuming the reader knows the literature or your area of research
- Failing to anticipate possible criticisms or problems
- Implicitly using the standards of quantitative research

# Questions and Discussion

**dharding@berkeley.edu**  
**@DJHardingSoc**

